



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,900	09/25/2003	Allen Berger JR.	230199.3 2230	
75	90 08/17/2005		EXAMINER	
Sanchelima and Associates, P.A. 235 S.W. Le Jeune Road			CANFIELD, ROBERT	
Miami, FL 33			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3635	
•				

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/669,900	BERGER, ALLEN				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
·	Robert J. Canfield	3635	,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37						
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) abjected to the control of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4</u> .			•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				



08/05/05

Application/Control Number: 10/669,900

Art Unit: 3635

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/05/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Final rejection mailed July 13, 2005 was premature because none of the previous Office actions mentioned the Hubbard (U.S. Patent 5,950,398) reference. This argument is not found persuasive as the new grounds of rejection, under U.S. Patent 5,950,398 to Hubbard, was necessitated by the amendment to claim 1 made April 21, 2005. Applicant is directed to MPEP 706.07(a).

The instant amendment to the claims will be entered upon Appeal but claims 1 and 4 will remain rejected under U.S. Patent 5,950,398 to Hubbard. Applicant argues that the inner pane of Hubbard does not pass through the opening in the sash 10. This is not found persuasive. If one considers the opening to be defined as the space between the reveal 16 and face 14 then inner surface 18 clearly passes through the opening as shown in figures 2 and 3. Further, column 3, lines 64+ recite that the pane 18 is dimensioned so as to easily pass by the opening in the sash. Applicant argues that claim 1 requires peripheral flange members that come in abutting contact again the external surface adjacent the opening. As shown and described the underside of pane 17 comes in abutting contact with face 14. Applicant further states that the external surface 17 of Hubbard is received within the opening, which is contrary to what is claimed. This is not found persuasive as external surface 17 is clearly shown outside of the opening defined from reveal 16 to face 14.

Art Unit: 3635

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Canfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6440. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3635

08/05/05